

- **CHECK WHERE YOUR ARTICLE / PAPER / SYNOPSIS/ DISSERTATION / THESIS IS YOUR ORIGINAL WORK OR NOT ?**
- **DO NOT PLAGIARIZE !**
- **PLAGIARISM MEANS USING ANOTHER'S WORK WITHOUT GIVING CREDIT.**
- **USE PLAGIARISM CHECKER TO CHECK ORIGINALITY OF ANY ARTICLE / PAPER / SYNOPSIS/ DISSERTATION / THESIS**

VISIT FOLLOWING WEB LINKS FOR PLAGIARISM CHECKER

<http://duplicate-content-checker.winsite.com/>
<http://plagiarism.pro/>
<http://plagiarisma.net/>
<http://softwaretopic.informer.com/duplicate-content-checker-freeware/>
<http://www.articlechecker.com/>
<http://www.copyscape.com/>
<http://www.grammarly.com/?q=plagiarism&gclid=CJqEneqr2aoCFcl66wodGXw66w>
<http://www.smallseotools.com/plagiarism-checker/>
<http://www.techmaish.com/4-free-duplicate-content-checker-tools/>
<http://www.tuition.com.hk/plagiarism-checker.htm>
<http://www.plagiarism-detector.com>
www.academicplagiarism.com
www.doccop.com
www.duplichecker.com
www.plagiarismchecker.com
www.plagiarismdetect.com
www.plagscan.com/seesources
www.duplichecker.com
www.plagiarismdetect.com
www.doccop.com
www.plagscan.com/seesources
www.academicplagiarism.com
<http://www.tuition.com.hk/plagiarism-checker.htm>

YOU MAY USE PAID PLAGIARISM CHECKER SOFTWARE

PLAGIARISM

✓ *What is plagiarism?*

Plagiarism means using another's work without giving credit. If you use others' words, you must put them in quotation marks and cite your source. You must also give citations when using others' ideas, even if you have paraphrased those ideas in your own words. "Work" includes the words and ideas of others, as well as art, graphics, computer programs, music, and other creative expression. The work may consist of writing, charts, data, graphs, pictures, diagrams, websites, movies, TV broadcasts, or other communication media. The term "source" includes published works -- books, magazines, newspapers, textbooks, websites, movies, photos,

paintings, plays -- and unpublished sources (e.g., materials from a research service, blogs, class handouts, lectures, notes, speeches, or other students' papers). Using words, ideas, computer code, or any work without giving proper credit is plagiarism. Any time you use information from a source, of any kind,

✓ ***Why be concerned about plagiarism?***

- If you plagiarize, you are cheating yourself. You don't learn to write out your thoughts in your own words, and you won't receive specific feedback from your instructor geared to your individual needs and skills.
- Plagiarism is dishonest and/or misleading, because it misrepresents the work of another as your own.
- Plagiarism violates the Code of Academic Conduct and can lead to Suspension or Dismissal. * Plagiarism devalues others' original work. Using and submitting a professional's work as your own is taking an unfair advantage over students who do their own work.
- It is wrong to take or use property (an author's work) without giving the owner the credit due. Further, copyright violations can result in damages, fines, or worse. * The reputation of UC Davis affects the value of your degree; student dishonesty hurts UCD's standing and can diminish the worth of your diploma.

✓ ***How can you avoid plagiarism?***

- **Know what plagiarism is ?:** ignorance will not excuse a violation. Intentional plagiarism, such as eliberate copying or use of another's work without credit, submitting a paper from the Internet as one's own, or altering or falsifying citations to hide sources is very serious, likely to result in Suspension. Unintentional plagiarism may result from not knowing how to cite sources properly, sloppy research and note-taking, or careless cutting and pasting from electronic resources – it is still a violation of the Code of Academic Conduct and subject to discipline.
- **Use your own words and ideas.** Practice is essential to learning. Each time you choose your words, order your thoughts, and convey your ideas, you can improve your writing.
- **Give credit for copied, adapted, or paraphrased material.** If you copy and use another's exact words, you **must** use quotation marks **and** cite the source. If you adapt a chart or paraphrase a sentence, you must still cite your source. Paraphrasing is restating the author's ideas, information, and meaning in your own words (see examples).
- **Avoid using others work with minor "cosmetic" changes.** Examples: using "less" for "fewer," eversing the order of a sentence, changing terms in a computer code, or altering a spreadsheet layout. If the work is essentially the same as your source, give credit.
- **There are no "freebies."** Always cite words, information and ideas that you use if they are new to you (learned in your research). No matter where you find it – even in on the Internet or in anencyclopedia – you cite it!
- **Beware of "common knowledge."** You may not have to cite "common knowledge," but the fact must really be commonly known. That George Orwell was the author of the anti-totalitarian allegory *Animal Farm* is common knowledge; that Orwell died at age 46 in 1951 is not.²
- **When in doubt, cite.** Better to be safe than not give credit when you should!

✓ ***Is Plagiarism Like Theft?***

First, plagiarism can easily be distinguished from piracy. Piracy is the sale of attributed but unauthorized copies of a work, an act depriving the author of profit but not credit. Depriving authors of profit that is rightfully theirs is theft, but here we focus on credit rather than profit. Depriving authors of credit might also be a form of theft. We often think that ideas and their expression belong to the author as if they were private property, the author's intellectual property. On this view, plagiarists steal the work of others, taking for themselves the credit of ownership and thereby depriving the original authors of this benefit. If intellectual property is like physical property the analogy helps explain subtler forms of plagiarism. For example, most people know that taking the exact wording of another person without attribution is plagiarism, but believe that paraphrasing the original is acceptable. Yet taking someone else's idea and changing the wording is like stealing a car and changing its color. However, literary works that are stolen differ in important ways from physical objects that are the targets of ordinary theft. Ideas are less tangible and identifiable than physical objects. Objects that are stolen remain stolen even if they are taken apart and recombined. Not so with ideas. Building new ideas from old ideas, using existing components and combining them in new ways, might be creativity, not plagiarism. There is often no way to determine what counts as a new idea and what requires acknowledgment as a variation on an old idea. Conventions for giving credit vary from field to field. For example, much has been written about ill-fated love or problems with parent-child relations without giving credit to Shakespeare or Aeschylus. In literature the *form* of the expression is more important than the basic plot idea. In fact some critics claim that there is only a small number of basic plots in all of literature. To count as plagiarism in literature a description must steal the form of the original, not just the structure. However, in the sciences, reporting the research results of another without

attribution is plagiarism, even if the words and style of the report are very different. In order to know what should be credited to others, one has to know the practices of that field. And even then it may not be clear. In areas such as computer programming and musical composition, what counts as plagiarism is still being argued in the courts. Unlike physical objects that belong to someone else, we are expected to pick up the ideas of others and take them with us. We remember ideas without remembering where they came from because recalling the source of an idea is often more difficult than recalling the idea itself. Therefore it is possible to commit plagiarism without realizing that one is doing so. Learning to avoid plagiarism requires careful training in a system of conventions particular to a field, unlike learning to avoid theft. This may explain why several great thinkers of the past have recently been accused of plagiarism. Under today's conventions of attribution, what they did constitutes plagiarism. But the conventions of today are not the same as in the past when writers usually cited another person's work only to invoke authority. Plagiarism differs from theft in a more profound way. Taking an object that has been abandoned or given away is not considered stealing. But copying the ideas of an anonymous author, or claiming credit for an idea given to you by a friend who does not wish to claim authorship, is considered plagiarism. If words and ideas were merely property, and plagiarism merely a form of theft, then there would be nothing wrong with buying the rights to authorship from another, as in the case of commercial term-paper services. The original authors sell their claim to authorship for money. The plagiarist who uses these services is not *stealing* the credit from another person because the original author does not want the credit. But credit for authorship is not something that can be sold or given away. Credit for authorship is so undetachable that even the reverse of stealing,

falsely attributing one's own work to another, is also wrong; it constitutes forgery. In the realm of ideas and their expression, one is evaluated not for owning the rights to a work but for having been its originator. Ideas and forms of expression are, in this sense, closer to moral actions than to property. The credit due the originator cannot be transferred to someone else, even if both parties agree to the transfer. As with a moral action, responsibility, whether creditworthy or blameworthy, inheres in the agent.

✓ ***Why attribute at all?***

There are commonly accepted violations of the rule that works must be attributed to their true authors. Books "by" celebrities are routinely ghost-written. Highly successful authors use pseudonyms. Books written by committees are published under a famous author's name. Politicians give speeches without crediting their speechwriters. Congressional bills bear the name of legislators who introduce them, not the assistants who write them. Researchers routinely use the assistance of librarians without acknowledgment. People tell jokes without crediting the originators. Perhaps these failures to give credit are wrong. Some argue that credit should always be given to the originator; that proper attribution is essential to evaluate the contributions of individuals and to reward creativity. Certainly when evaluation is focal, it is wrong to take credit for the work of others. And certainly it is important to identify and reward people who do original work in order to encourage that work. On the other hand, it is possible that emphasis on evaluation and credit discourages collaboration and fosters destructive competition and cheating. When the result is more important than the process, collaboration and teamwork might be encouraged even at the cost of sacrificing accurate attribution of credit. Under these conditions the reprehensibility of plagiarism might diminish.

✓ ***Bibliography***

- ACI Net Guide to Term papers. An Internet collection of sources for college papers. <http://members.aol.com/aciplus/netguide.htm>. Sept. 1996. It comes with the warning "Anything that a student can pull off the Net can be just as easily located by the student's instructors."
- Plagiarism. ©Janice Moulton and George Robinson, Smith College, 2002
- Another source for student papers (<http://www.schoolsucks.com>) carries the warning: No Document May Be Reprinted Without Proper Attribution To Our Company As The Original Source.
- Broad, W. and N. Wade *Betrayers of the Truth*, New York: Simon and Schuster, 1983. About research fraud, including plagiarism, by famous scientists.
- Brogan, K. and J. Brogan "Yet Another Ethical Problem in Technical Writing." ERIC Document No. 229782, October, 1988. Plagiarism in technical writing is increasing.
- Canuteson, J. "We Can Help Eliminate Plagiarism by Teaching Students What It Is." *Chronicle of Higher Education*, March 16, 1983, p. 30.

- Goodstein, David. "Conduct and Misconduct in Science" In *The Flight from Science and Reason*, edited by Paul R. Gross, Norman Levitt, and Martin W. Lewis, 31-38. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1996. Discussion about what kinds of misconduct, including plagiarism, harm the goals of science.
- Kelman, H., and C. Hovland, "Reinstatement of the Communicator in Delayed Measurement of Opinion Change," *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, Vol. 48 (1953), pp. 327- 335. People remember the idea but not the source.
- LaFollette, Marcel C. *Stealing into print: fraud, plagiarism and misconduct in scientific publishing*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992.
- Moulton, J. and G. Robinson, "Cheating for Truth and Glory: The Problem of Research Fraud," *Twenty Questions, An Introduction to Philosophy* (L. Bowie, M. Michaels, R. Solomon, eds.) Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich, 1988, pp. 108-116. The methodology of a science changes and with it the idea of what is improper practice.
- Robinson, G. M., and J. Moulton, *Ethical Problems in Higher Education*, Prentice Hall (1985). Chapter 4 discusses plagiarism and other research frauds.
- Shaw, P. "Plagiary," *American Scholar*, Summer, 1982, pp. 325-337. Society should enforce sanctions against plagiarism.
- Shea, J. "When Borrowing Becomes Burglary." *Currents* Vol. 13, n. 1, January 1987, pp. 38-42. It is sometimes difficult to distinguish inspiration from theft.
- Simon, J. F. "Software owner wins 'look and feel' victory," *The Boston Globe*, March, 14, 1989, pp. 43, 50. Regardless of the code used, it is plagiarism to produce software that looks and feels the same to the customer.
- Skom, E. "Plagiarism: Quite a Rather Bad Little Crime," *American Association of Higher Education Bulletin* October 1986, pp. 3-7. Plagiarism is not understood by many. Teachers often do not acknowledge their own sources.
- Stillinger, Jack. *Multiple Authorship and the myth of solitary genius*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1991.
- "The Acceptable Face of Plagiarism" <http://www.hyperreal.com/zines/est/articles/plagiari.html>. Sept. 1996. Discussion of a defense of plagiarism in music.
- See Henderickson, R.J., *The Research Paper* (Henry Holt and Company, 1957, xiv-xv; McGill University "Student Guide to Avoid Plagiarism," last updated 8/22/06 <http://www.mcgill.ca/integrity/studentguide/>)
- Bowker, G., *Inside George Orwell* (Palgrave MacMillan 2003)
- See also: <http://www.indiana.edu/~wts/wts/plagiarism.html>
- <http://www.zoology.ubc.ca/bpg/plagiarism.htm#WhatIs>
- <http://sja.ucdavis.edu/sja/plagiarism.html>
- <http://www.plagiarism.org/> (billed as a plagiarism detection service)
- <http://www.nwu.edu/uacc/plagiar.html>
- <http://condor.bcm.tmc.edu/Micro-Immuno/courses/igr/plagint.html> (how to avoid plagiarism in science writing)
- <http://www.depauw.edu/acadser/plag.html>
- <http://nutsandbolts.washcoll.edu/plagiarism.html>:
- <http://www.plagiarism.org/>:
- http://owl.english.purdue.edu/handouts/research/r_plagiar.html
- <http://www.virtualsalt.com/antiplag.htm>: