ERP OPTIMALITY SKELETON FOR ERP ASSORTM

G. R. GOSAVI [1] , DR. V. M. THAKARE [2]

[1] Department of Computer Engineering, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Polytechnic Amravati, Maharashtra, India
[2] Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University Amravati, Maharashtra, India

dinesh.gosavi@rediffmail.com,vilthakare@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT **-** *ERP, The Enterprise resource planning is a planning philosophy , enabled with software that attempts to integrate all the business processes of various departments and functions across a Company on to a Software system that can serve the needs to execute the transactions of the tightly integrated departments. Determinism is the incidental concept that states that every happening and choice is a consequence of and is eventually determined by archived but live causes and reasons. Determinism is often taken to incorporate liberty of selection because it embodies the principal of past actions and future results. In mathematics all deterministic processes are predictable, you get to understand the next state, given the current state, and then if owned a strong computing resource, one ought to produce future step derivations. One example is count on the constraints laying precisely for an ERP today and has prediction of ERP selection suiting the constraints in unpredictable scenario. For an archived set of an ERP, or numerous ERPs, a set of observations on a variable measured at successive points of time are recorded for performance and implementation progress, then for every future procurement and deployment of that ERP, the recorded trend may guide the future decisions. The polynomial representation of coded ERP constraints based matrix can be simplified and solved using least squares method of regular moving averages. The trends in history can predict the trends and success of ERP in present or future in mathematically modeled processing form. This paper analyses the simulation logic with a practical case study, in deterministic unpredictable scenario.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Enterprise Resource Planning covers the techniques and concepts employed for the integrated management of the business as a whole, from the viewpoint of the effective use of resources, to improve the efficiency of an enterprise. A few more sample EMS and TPS are, Shop floor & work floor management system, Production management system, Security management system, Canteen and ECO Systems management system, Events management Systems, Schedules and targets management systems, Personal Organizers, MIS systems, DSS systems, GDSS Systems, ESS Systems, Dispatch management system, Project management System, Data Services management System and so on. There are illustrious set of ERP packages obtainable in the market. They hand out to be installed and implemented in diligence, institutes or any business aid organization venues commencing from a manufacturing industry to service representing industry, an edification institute to Non administrative Institutes, profitable and monetary places like Banks and economic service centers to telecom sectors etc.

The selection criteria have to be based on the requisites requirement definition and specifications, as provided by ERP seeking designer and implementer. The Selection will be taken up as a combinatorial optimization problem. Hodgepodge Combinational optimization is an approach proposed to find an optimal object from an infinite set of objects. The formation of the selection logic will be worked out for an ERP set using HodgePodge Optimization Techniques, a derived mathematical model to be applied to discrete choices that help in deciding the optimum and aiding in taking appropriate selection decision. For an archived set of an ERP, or numerous ERPs, a set of observations on a variable measured at successive points of time will be recorded for performance and implementation progress, then for every future procurement and deployment of that ERP, the recorded trend will guide the future decisions, howsoever unpredictable the scenario may be. The deterministic approach will be used.

The Objective is to articulate the comprehensive model algorithm, for the optimization constraints based selection of ERP and the relevant modules or modules set from an ERP. The aid of mathematical approach in selection and optimization has to be formulated.

II. ESSENCE OF LOGICAL SKELETON FORMATION.

An algorithm encompassing the intelligently customized optimization logic for the optimum selection and deployment of an ERP is a desperate need of technical globe. A lot of parameters like industry's requirement

definition, the nature, complexity, ease of navigation, financial inputs sought by the ERP, implementation methodology and pertaining hazards are at stake.

Step 1 : There are distinguished set of ERP packages available in the market. They serve to be installed and implemented in industry. They are to be listed. Examples could be Prophet 21, Retail ERP, MAX, Macola 10, Globe Next, Exact Online, Financial Force ERP, Fishbowl Inventory, Greentree Business Software, IFS, Ignition MES, OEE Module, IFS Applications, Just Food ERP, Info VISUAL, Lawson, Info ERP BPCS/LX, Barcode, Discrete iEnterprise(XA), Infor10 Distribution Business SX.Enterprise ERP, Info 10 Distribution Express, ERP Business SyteLine, ERP Ln, ERP Process Business, ERP Blending, Sun Systems.

Step 2: A business group has its individual lay down of constraints, parameters and wiles based on which it has to pick an ERP. The parameters may be intended and determined by strategic management or by probable users of the systems. A few judgment parameters for contemplation are, data recuperation capability, inheritance characteristics pertinence, extensibility at large, computational wholeness, modeling aptitude, intricacy, database reclamation efficiency, storage utilization, reclamation and salvage time, hardware, software, peripheries, database based attributes, effortlessness of routing, GUI aspect and others defined briefly below.

Step 3: Various functional areas, Transaction processing systems, business processing systems and Enterprise management system modules will be then decided to be included as modules of operation. The modules integration and cascading leads to ERP package formation. The various probable areas are

- Inventory and purchase order management
- Human resource management system
- Shop floor work floor management system
- Production management system
- Quality control management system
- Accounts management system
- incorporated system – A cascaded set of business systems tied in concert
- Operates in real time – Real time system providing RTS data and admission services
- Central record- One Spot serving database
- Consistency Constraint - A dependable look and feel across modules
- Installation Mechanisms - Installation of the system with convoluted application/data integration by the information technology tools and gears.

Step 4 : The selection decision of an ERP is a crucial aspect of the overall ERP opting process and genuinely need to be guided based on the constraints above in step 2 of Algorithm. The selection of an ERP from the set of ERPs available contemporarily, of which, list of specified ERPs in step 1 above is a subset, has to be intelligently selected. When an organization selects an ERP, it is a decision subject to many constraints. The important rules and parametric norms are pertaining to operations and technicalities . The parameters mainly comprise of the technical feasibility which may have sub constituents as hardware, software, firmware etc. based attributes. We tackle these parameters of verdict as a lay down of counting parameters. The position of Constraints are generally articulated in stipulations of inequalities

$PARAMETER_{11}q_1 + PARAMETER_{12}q_2 + \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots + PARAMETER_{1n}q_n \leq b1$
$PARAMETER_{m1}q_1 + PARAMETER_{m2}q_2 + \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots + PARAMETER_{mn}q_n \leq b_m$
With all $q_i > 0$.

The Boolean choice function also can be used instead.

$f = (q1 \vee q2 \vee q4) \wedge (q3 \vee \bar{q}4)$

An ERP is to be selected from available set of ERP cluster. Decision variables q_i form the base . the introduction of these variables has to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} q_i = n, \forall q_i \in \{0,1\} \quad 1 \leq n < L$$

The equation with generalized form can be stated as .

$$Parameter^j_{min} \leq Parameter^j \leq Parameter^j_{max} \quad , \; j \in \{1,2,\ldots\}$$

To maximize Objectives,

$$f^* = \frac{f - f^{min}}{f^{max} - f^{min}}$$

And to minimize Objectives

$$f^* = \frac{f^{max} - f}{f^{max} - f^{min}}$$

Step 5 : Quantitative data collected from field may be used in specific forms to play fundamental role in outlook decision making. A variable t represents time over which the parametric trend y can be recorded. In the conventional classical approach, it is concluded from the established mathematical theory, that the noted value y, may be represented either as the product of the above gradients i.e. $y_i = T \times S \times C \times I$ (multiplicative model), or as the addition of components, $y_i = T + S + C + I$ (additive model). The equations used are appropriate geometric equation for that particular trend, which may be derived by taking logarithm of

$$Y = A.B^x$$

represented in the exponential function form.
where a = log A and b = log B.

Some times a second or a third degree polynomial may also be fitted.
$Y = a + bx + cx^2$
In mathematics, a matrix polynomial is a polynomial with square matrices as variables. Therefore a scalar valued polynomial is represented as below.

$$p(x) = \sum a_i x^i = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \ldots + a_n x^n$$

Step 6 : To proceed with Cloud scenario comparison, using a finite element method based mathematical modeling approach, the prevailing thought is The Advantages of modeling a mathematical formulation. They are the accurate representation of complex intervened set of Cloud parameters that can be depicted, dissimilar set of cloud and non cloud prototypes properties that can be easily represented etc. The domain equations are originally represented as partial differential equations, divided in sub domain, are consequently framed as a set of equations. The partial differential equations can be solved with precision margin or can be geometrically represented to have more rectified output from precision solution.
Step 7 : To proceed with parameters based discrimination of database paradigm, a quantification mathematical model interpretation will be used. When an Organization selects an ERP it is a decision subject to many constraints. The precedential constraints are operational, technical and financial parameters. The parameters mainly comprise of the technical parameters including the database retrieval efficiency, response time, no of data accesses per unit time, data editing capacity

Step 8 : There are several EMS system modules like Inventory and purchase order management, Accounts management, Human Resource development, payroll management or production management, which are part of an ERP. The stage proposes the prospective Optimization factors for the ERP system, module or functional unit wise. e.g. following example table summarizes such parameters for Inventory and Purchase management and allied systems for an ideal ERP.
Supplier Management

- Normal Purchasing
- Vendor Release scheduling
- Variable receipts routing on a vendor by Item Combination

.

III. CONCLUSION

Chapter jotted the complete comprehensive optimization Algorithm that comprise of systematic intelligent customization based optimization logic, for selection of an ERP and for lifecycle optimization. The algorithm is

superficially designed using the technical conceptual framework, using and for, Selection decision of ERP using Hodgepodge optimization techniques, Setting constraint equations, making multiple parameters based choice decision, multiple options based optimization model formation, thresholds implementation, proceeding to scalar evaluation, deriving single inference function for decision making, selection of ERP in deterministic unpredictable scenario, set of constraints expressions formation, regular moving average method usage, polynomials fitting, derivation as matrix representation, the impact of database paradigm in a scenario based ERP scheme implementation, the optimal ERP efficacy in cloud based and non au courant cloud framework, acquaintance with finite element analysis method and ERP correlation, cloud scenario comparison as a finite element method based mathematical modeling approach, mathematical representation, parametric relevance, ERP reports, DB fields customized optimization, customizing a database table field and a report field for implementing resource optimization.

REFERENCES

[1] Alexis Leon "Enterprise Resource Planning " ,Tata Mc Graw Hill Publishing Company Ltd. Twelfth Reprint 2004, pp 27 – 98

[2] Esteves, J., and Pastor, J., "Enterprise Resource Planning Systems ResearAn Annotated Bibliography", Communication of the Association for Information Systems.

[3] Rubina Adam, Paula Kotze, Alta van der Merwe "Acceptance of enterprise resource planning systems by small manufacturing Enterprises", In: Proceedings of the 1tth International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems, Vol.1, edited by Runtong Zhang, José Cordeiro, Xuewei Li, Zhenji Zhang and Juliang Zhang, SciTePress, 2011. , p. 229 – 238

[4] Tsai, W.-H., Lee, P.-L., Shen, Y.-S., and Lin, H.-L, "A Comprehensive Study of the Relationship between Enterprise Resource Planning Selection Criteria and Enterprise Resource Planning System Success", Information processes 2012, pp 36-46.

[5] AIMMS. Aimms, world leader in optimization modeling, 2008. [Online; accessed14-June-2008] http://www.aimms.com/aimms/index.cgi.

[6] E j barbeau, "Polynomials", Springer book isbn 0-38740627-1, pp 102-111

[7] Rahul V. Altekar "Enterprise Resource Planning , Theory and Practice" , PHI 2004, pp100-129

[8] C. Heij, J. C. Willems, "A Deterministic Approach to Approximate Modelling", springer link books, pp 49-134

[9] Heij, C., "Approximate modelling of deterministic systems, in Curtain - Modelling, Robustness and Sensitivity Reduction in Control Systems", NATO ASI Series, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 271–283

[10] Kalman, R.E., P.L. Falb and M.A. Arbib, Topics in Mathematical System Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.

[11] Sánchez-Macián, E. Pastor, J. E. de López Vergara, D. López, M. Marchiori, J. Z. Pan, C. de S. Marie, "Extending SWRL to enhance mathematical support" in Web Reasoning and Rule Systems, Berlin, Germany:Springer, vol. 4524, pp. 358-360, 2007.

[12] L. Pitt, M.K. Warmuth, "The minimum consistent DFA problem cannot be approximated within any polynomial", Proceedings. Structure in Complexity Theory Fourth Annual Conference, pp. 230, June 1989.

[13] K. J. Laskey, K. B. Laskey, "Uncertainty reasoning for the world wide web: report on the URW3-XG incubator group", Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Uncertainty Reasoning Semantic Web, pp. 108-116, 2008.